

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE 10/19/2001 7590 01/14/2004		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 6018
10/032,633			James M. Kain	20341-68796	
23643				EXAMINER	
BARNES &	1ERIDIAN	1			
INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 01/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Notification of Non-Compliance With 37 CFR 1.192(c)

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/032,633	KAIN, JAMES M.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Erika Garrett	3636			

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address-

The Appeal Brief filed on <u>18 November 2003</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c) within the longest of any of the following three **TIME PERIODS**: (1) **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) **TWO MONTHS** from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. **EXTENSIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.** 

1.			brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper ding or in the proper order.
2.			brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the ealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).
3.			east one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a ement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).
4.			brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).
5.		The	brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)).
6.	$\boxtimes$	A sir	ngle ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and
	(a)		the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
	(b)		the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fal together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
7.		The	brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8))
8.		The	brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)).
9.	$\boxtimes$	Othe	er (including any explanation in support of the above items):
		<u>differ</u>	appellent needs to explain why the claims of the group are believed to be separately patentable. Merely pointing out rences in what the claims cover is not an argument as to why the claims are separately patentable (see MPEP 1206 37 CFR 1.192 (c) (7).

Supervisory Palant Examiner